arXiv:hep-th/9608021v1 5 Aug 1996

OUTP-96-45P
5th August 1996
hep-th/9608021

A Simple Model of Dimensional Collapse

João D. Correia[1] and John F. Wheater[2]

Department of Physics, University of Oxford
Theoretical Physics,
1 Keble Road,
Oxford OX1 3NP, UK

Abstract. We consider a simple model of d families of scalar field interacting with geometry in two dimensions. The geometry is locally flat and has only global degrees of freedom. When $d < 0$ the universe is locally two dimensional but for $d > 0$ it collapses to a one dimensional manifold. The model has some, but not all, of the characteristics believed to be features of the full theory of conformal matter interacting with quantum gravity which has local geometric degrees of freedom.

PACS: 04.40.K
Keywords: conformal matter, quantum gravity

---

[1] e-mail: j.correia1@physics.ox.ac.uk
[2] e-mail: j.wheater1@physics.ox.ac.uk

It is known that conformal matter interacting with quantum gravity on a two dimensional manifold of fixed topology alters both the local and global nature of the geometry [1, 2, 3]. For matter with central charge $c < 1$ many of the properties of the system can be calculated through the KPZ results [4]. In this regime the system remains locally two dimensional but has global properties that vary with c. In the formal limit that $c \to -\infty$ we recover the semi-classical behaviour of matter interacting with a flat background metric; the KPZ results are non-analytic at $c = 1$ and for $c > 1$ they do not make sense. For very large and positive c the geometry is known to be dominated by singular, branched polymer like, configurations of the metric [1] but the regime just above $c = 1$ is not completely understood [5, 6, 7, 8].

In this paper we consider some simpler models of matter interacting with geometry in two dimensions; in these models the geometry is always locally flat and the only geometrical degrees of freedom are global parameters. The models show similar behaviour to that described above but with the crucial difference that the regime analogous to $c > 1$ can be analyzed exactly. We will show that these systems undergo a phase transition from a small c phase in which space is locally two dimensional to a large c phase in which it is locally one dimensional.

In order to be locally flat everywhere the space must have the topology of a torus. The models are defined on a square lattice of lattice spacing a with sides of length $L_{1,2}$ spacings; in the 1 direction we impose periodic boundary conditions while in the 2 direction we impose helical boundary conditions, being shifted by M lattice spacings. The matter content consists of d scalar fields, X, whose partition function on such a lattice we denote by $Z^X(L_1, L_2, M)$. We define two versions of the model by the partition functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) &= \sum_{L_1,L_2} e^{-\mu L_1 L_2} \left(Z^X(L_1, L_2, 0)\right)^d \\
\mathcal{Z}^{II}(\mu, d) &= \sum_{L_1,L_2} \sum_{M=0}^{L_1-1} e^{-\mu L_1 L_2} \left(Z^X(L_1, L_2, M)\right)^d \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

where μ is the cosmological constant. Model I does not sum over twists whereas model II does and therefore includes all regular squarings of the torus. In continuum language model I sums over the imaginary part of the modular parameter $\tau = \frac{M+iL_2}{L_1}$. whereas model II sums over both real and imaginary part; note however that the measure implied by (1) is not the modular invariant measure.

These partition functions are convergent sums provided that μ is greater than some critical value μ_c. In the usual notation for quantum gravity the string exponent γ is defined by the leading non-analytic behaviour of the partition functions as $\mu \downarrow \mu_c$

$$\mathcal{Z} = P(\mu) - Q(\mu)(\mu - \mu_c)^{2-\gamma} \qquad (2)$$

where P and Q are regular functions at $\mu = \mu_c$. In fact for full gravity with conformal matter of $c < 1$ on a torus we expect that $\gamma = 2$ which means that there is a logarithmic singularity in $\mathcal{Z}$.

The sums arising in $\mathcal{Z}^{I,II}$ at $d = 0$ are associated with Jacobi functions [11]. However elementary methods are sufficient to elucidate the critical properties. Firstly it is clear that, because the number of graphs only increases polynomially in system size, the partition functions converge for $\mu > 0$ so that $\mu_c = 0$. Now consider

$$\mathcal{Z}^I = \sum_{L_1,L_2} e^{-\mu L_1 L_2} = \sum_L \frac{1}{e^{\mu L} - 1} \tag{3}$$

The summand is monotonic decreasing so

$$\mathcal{Z}^I = \frac{1}{e^{\mu} - 1} + \int_2^\infty \frac{dL}{e^{\mu L} - 1} + \mathcal{R} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}| &\leq \sum_{L=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{e^{\mu(L-1)} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\mu L} - 1} \right\} \\ &\sim \frac{C}{\mu} + O(1) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with C a constant. The integral is elementary and we find that, as $\mu \to 0$,

$$\mathcal{Z}^I = -\frac{\log \mu}{\mu} + \frac{C'}{\mu} + O(1) \tag{6}$$

Comparing (6) with (2) we see that $\gamma^I = 3$ but with a logarithmic correction.

It is informative to look at a slightly modified version of the $d = 0$ model

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^I = \sum_{L_1} \sum_{L_2=1}^{L_1} e^{-\mu L_1 L_2 - \zeta \frac{L_1}{L_2}} \tag{7}$$

in which tori with $t \neq 1$ are suppressed by an amount depending on $\zeta \geq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^I &= \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(L-1)(\mu L + \frac{\zeta}{L})}}{e^{\mu L + \frac{\zeta}{L}} - 1} \\ &= \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(L-1)(\mu L + \frac{\zeta}{L})}}{\mu L + \frac{\zeta}{L}} + \mathcal{R}' \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where, on account of $|(e^x - 1)^{-1} - x^{-1}| < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}'| &< \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} e^{-(L-1)(\mu L + \frac{\zeta}{L})} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The remaining sum can be majorized by dropping μ in the denominator leaving, for non-zero ζ, as $\mu \to 0$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^I < \frac{1}{\zeta\mu} + \frac{C''}{\sqrt{\mu}} \tag{10}$$

Thus we see that for arbitrary $\zeta > 0$ the logarithmic divergence in (6) is removed. In fact for all finite non-zero ζ the divergence is μ^{-1} and at large ζ it is easy to see that (10) is an equality; only when ζ is strictly infinite do we recover the $\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ behaviour expected for a single torus with $L_1 = L_2$[3]

Similar considerations for model II lead to the results

$$\mathcal{Z}^{II} \sim \frac{1}{\mu^2} + \dots \tag{11}$$

and, for $\zeta > 0$,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{II} \sim \frac{1}{\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \dots \tag{12}$$

Thus model II has $\gamma^{II} = 4$ at $\zeta = 0$ [11] and $\gamma^{II} = \frac{7}{2}$ for $\zeta > 0$.

A change in the value of γ indicates a phase transition in which the nature of the geometry changes. The best known example of this is probably the Ising model interacting with quantum gravity in a two dimensional universe of spherical topology. For all values of the Ising coupling except the critical value we find $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$; but at the critical coupling, where the Ising correlation functions are long range and can affect the global geometry, $\gamma = -\frac{1}{3}$ [12].

Adding matter fields to the model introduces an exponential dependence on the modular parameter of similar form to that in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^{I,II}$. To calculate the partition functions when $d \neq 0$ we will first use the continuum formulation. The area A of the torus is given by $L_1 L_2 a^2$ and the modular parameter $\tau = s + it = \frac{M+iL_2}{L_1}$; note that $A \geq a^2 t$. The partition function of a single gaussian field is then [13]

$$Z^X = e^{f_0 A} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^2} \tag{13}$$

where f_0 is some constant with dimensions of inverse area and the Dedekind η function is given by

$$\eta(\tau) \;=\; q^{\frac{1}{24}} P(\tau), \quad P(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \quad q = e^{i2\pi\tau} \tag{14}$$

In the region $t \geq 1$, $0 \leq s < 1$ we have the the obvious inequality

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-2n\pi t})^2 \leq |P|^2 \leq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{-2n\pi t})^2 \tag{15}$$

---

[3] This phenomenon is, at least superficially, similar to that recently demonstrated for R^2 gravity [10]; for all finite values of the R^2 coupling the system remains in the pure gravity universality class and only at infinite coupling does it become flat.

and elementary arguments yield the bounds

$$\exp\left(\frac{2\log(1-e^{-2\pi t})}{1-e^{-2\pi t}}\right) \leq |P|^2 \leq \exp\left(\frac{2e^{-2\pi t}}{1-e^{-2\pi t}}\right) \tag{16}$$

As we shall see any singularity arising from the integration over t arises from the region $t \to \infty$ and therefore cannot be affected by the factor $|P|^2$ which converges uniformly to 1.

The partition function $\mathcal{Z}^I$ is then given by

$$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) = \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+\frac{d}{2}}} \int_t^\infty du\, e^{-(\mu-\mu_0 d)u}\, e^{\frac{\pi t d}{6}}\, |P(it)|^{-2d} \tag{17}$$

Doing the u integral we get

$$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) = \frac{1}{\mu - d\mu_0} \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+\frac{d}{2}}}\, e^{-t\tilde{\mu}}\, |P(it)|^{-2d} \tag{18}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu} = (\mu - \mu_0 d) - \frac{\pi d}{6} \tag{19}$$

Now we can distinguish three cases

- If $d < 0$ then at $\mu = \mu_c = \mu_0 d$, $\tilde{\mu} = -\frac{\pi d}{6} > 0$ and so the remaining integrals are finite and
  $$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) = \frac{C}{\mu - \mu_0 d} + \ldots \tag{20}$$
  as in (10).

- If $d = 0$ then we recover the results (6) that as $\mu \to 0$
  $$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, 0) = -\frac{\log \mu}{\mu} + \ldots \tag{21}$$

- If $d > 0$ the physical singularity is now at $\tilde{\mu} = 0$ since $\tilde{\mu} < \mu - \mu_0 d$ when $d > 0$. Using (16) to drop the $|P|^2$ factor and differentiating (18) $1 + \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$ times with respect to μ we obtain a quantity which diverges as $\tilde{\mu} \to 0$ and hence
  $$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) \sim \tilde{\mu}^{\frac{d}{2}} + \mathcal{R}_1(\mu, d) \tag{22}$$
  where $\mathcal{R}_1$ is an analytic function at $\tilde{\mu} = 0$; when $d = 2m$ (m a positive integer) the leading non-analytic behaviour is given by
  $$\mathcal{Z}^I(\mu, d) \sim \tilde{\mu}^{\frac{d}{2}} \log \tilde{\mu} + \mathcal{R}_2(\mu, d) \tag{23}$$

Similar results can be obtained for model II

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{II}(\mu, d<0) &= \frac{B}{(\mu - d\mu_0)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \ldots && (24)\\ \mathcal{Z}^{II}(\mu, d=0) &= \frac{B'}{\mu^2} + \ldots && (25)\\ \mathcal{Z}^{II}(\mu, d>0) &= B''\tilde{\mu}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} + \ldots && (26) \end{aligned}$$

where B etc are constants. Again, (26) is modified by a factor of $\log\tilde{\mu}$ if d is a positive even integer.

We see that when $d > 0$ the physical singularity comes from the large t region so long thin tori dominate in $\mathcal{Z}^{I,II}$ and the system is essentially one dimensional. On the other hand, when $d < 0$ tori with $t \simeq 1$ dominate and the system is two dimensional. As d increases through zero there is a phase transition in which the local geometrical nature of the system changes from being two dimensional to being one dimensional; the value of γ also changes discontinuously at this point. There are two competing singularities in $\mathcal{Z}^{I,II}$; one is at

$$\mu_c^{(-)} = \mu_0 d \tag{27}$$

and the other at

$$\mu_c^{(+)} = \mu_0 d + \frac{\pi d}{6} \tag{28}$$

Precisely at the point where they coincide, $d = 0$, we get a phase transition which is first order, the first derivative of μ_c with respect to d being discontinuous.

We should be a little careful about drawing conclusions from the continuum calculation in the $d > 0$ regime because the most important tori are those near the cut-off (having the length of one side as large as possible) where (13) is no longer necessarily correct. To check this we examine the lattice version of model I directly.

The partition function Z^X for a scalar field is given by

$$Z^X = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int dX_i \, \delta\left(N^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{N} X_k\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{<mn>}(X_m - X_n)^2\right) \tag{29}$$

where N is the number of lattice sites, $< mn >$ denotes the link joining neighbouring points labelled by m and n and the delta function suppresses the zero mode. The integrals are easily done yielding

$$\begin{aligned} Z^X(L_1, L_2, 0) &= K N^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-NF} && (30)\\ F &= -\frac{1}{2}N^{-1}\sum_{n=0}^{L_1-1}\sum_{m=0}^{L_2-1}\log\left(\sin^2\frac{m\pi}{L_2} + \sin^2\frac{n\pi}{L_1}\right) && (31) \end{aligned}$$

where the zero mode is to be excluded and K is a constant. We will need F when $L_2 \gg L_1$ so first consider the case when L_1 is kept finite but $L_2 \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(L_1) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi L_1} \sum_{n=0}^{L_1-1} \int_0^{\pi} dx \, \log\left(\sin^2 x + \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{L_1}\right) && (32) \\ &= \log 2 - \frac{1}{L_1} \sum_{n=0}^{L_1-1} \log\left(\sin \frac{n\pi}{L_1} + \sqrt{1 + \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{L_1}}\right) && (33) \end{aligned}$$

The finite L_2 corrections may be computed using the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula; for example the $n = 0$ contribution in the original sum (31) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{m=0}^{L_2-1} -\frac{1}{2} \log\left(\sin^2 \frac{m\pi}{L_2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{L_2}{2\pi} \int_{\delta}^{\pi-\delta} \log(\sin^2 t) \, dt - \frac{1}{4} \Big\{ \log\left(\sin^2 \delta\right) + \log\left(\sin^2(\pi - \delta)\right) \Big\} \\ &\quad + \delta \frac{B_2}{2} \Big\{ G'(\pi - \delta) - G'(\delta) \Big\} + \delta^4 \frac{B_4}{4!} \sum_{k=1}^{L_2-2} G''''\left(\delta(k + \theta)\right) && (34) \end{aligned}$$

where $G(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(\sin^2 t)$, $\delta = \pi/L_1$ and $0 < \theta < 1$. It is easy to check that the last two terms of (34) vary like $1 + O(L_2^{-1})$ and by rearranging the integral we find

$$S = -\frac{L_2}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \log(\sin^2 t) \, dt - \log L_2 + O(1) \qquad (35)$$

Similar, although more messy, considerations for the $n \neq 0$ case in (31) lead to the conclusion that

$$F = \bar{\mu}(L_1) - \frac{\log L_2}{N} + \frac{E}{N} + O(L_2^{-2}) \qquad (36)$$

where E is a constant and hence that

$$Z^X(L_1, L_2, 0) = K \left(\frac{L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{N\bar{\mu}(L_1) + O(L_1/L_2)} \qquad (37)$$

To compare this with the continuum result the sum in $\bar{\mu}(L_1)$ can be computed approximately so that in the regime $L_2 \gg L_1 \gg 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^X &= K \left(\frac{L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left\{ N\mu_0 + \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{L_2}{L_1} + O(L_1/L_2) + O(L_2/L_1^2) \right\} \\ \mu_0 &= \log 2 - \frac{2\mathcal{C}}{\pi} && (38) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is Catalan's constant in agreement with (13).

When $L_2 \gg L_1$ the partition function for a single Gaussian field is given by (37) so that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mu, d) = \sum_{L_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{L_2=L_1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu L_1 L_2} \left(e^{-\bar{\mu}(L_1) L_1 L_2} \sqrt{\frac{L_1}{L_2}} \right)^d \qquad (39)$$

Separating out the contribution for $L_1 = 1$ in (39) we get

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mu, d) = \mathcal{F}(\mu, d) + \sum_{L_2=1}^{\infty} L_2^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-L_2(\mu - \bar{\mu}(1)d)} \tag{40}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu, d) = \sum_{L_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{L_2=L_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-L_1 L_2(\mu - \bar{\mu}(L_1)d)} \tag{41}$$

The second term (corresponding to $L_1 = 1$) in (40) diverges at $\mu = \mu_c = \bar{\mu}(1)d$; on the other hand, because $\bar{\mu}(x)$ is an increasing function of x,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mu_c, d) &\leq \sum_{L_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{L_2=L_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-L_1 L_2 d(\bar{\mu}(1) - \bar{\mu}(2))} && (42) \\ &= \sum_{L_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{L_2=L_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{L_1}{L_2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-L_1 L_2 \frac{d}{2} \log(1+\sqrt{2})} && (43) \end{aligned}$$

This double sum is finite for $d > 0$ and hence $\mathcal{F}$ is analytic at $\mu = \mu_c$; we are left with

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mu, d) = (\mu - \bar{\mu}(1)d)^{\frac{d}{2}-1} + \text{regular} \tag{44}$$

except when $d = 2m$ (m integer) in which case there is the usual logarithmic modification.

Equation (44) should be compared with (22). We see that the continuum calculation is misleading for $d > 0$ and that in fact the system undergoes a Bose condensation in which (nearly) all the tori crowd into the single state with largest possible modulus. This also implies that the continuum result, $\gamma = 2 - \frac{d}{2}$ for $d > 0$, is wrong; the correct result is $\gamma = 3 - \frac{d}{2}$. Similar considerations will apply to model II.

The variation of correlation functions of operators, for example the X fields, as a function of their geodesic separation, l, provides another measure of the dimensionality of the space in gravitational systems [14, 15]. Clearly in our models we will obtain results typical of a two dimensional system ($\sim \log l$) for $d < 0$ and of a one dimensional system for $d > 0$. However it is not immediately obvious how the correlation function will behave at $d = 0$ where all tori contribute equally; letting the separation l lie along the real direction (and ensuring that it is the shortest distance) we find the X two point function

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu, l) \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{2l}^{\infty} du\, e^{-\mu u} \int_{\frac{1}{u}}^{\frac{u}{4l^2}} \frac{dt}{t} \log \Gamma_{12}(\tau = it, \omega_1 = \sqrt{\frac{u}{t}}, z_{12} = l) \tag{45}$$

where Γ_{12} is given in [13]; replacing the Jacobi function ϑ_1 by its small argument approximation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\mu, l) &\simeq -\frac{1}{2}\int_{2l}^{\infty} du\, e^{-\mu u} \int_{\frac{1}{u}}^{\frac{u}{4l^2}} \frac{dt}{t} \log l^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mu}\left\{-2\log\mu\log l - 2(\log l)^2 + O(\log l)\right\} \end{aligned} \qquad (46)$$

The leading l dependent contribution at the critical point $\mu \to 0$ is $\log l$ but the presence of sub-leading contributions $(\log l)^2$ which are more singular in l indicates that the dimensionality of the system is unstable.

The dimensional collapse which occurs in models I and II at $d = 0$ may be analogous to the geometry change which is believed to occur at $c = 1$ in the full model of conformal matter coupled to quantum gravity. The difference betweenthe change occuring at zero families of scalar fields or one is trivial; it simply arises because the number of graphs of a given type in the full model is exponential in N which moves the competing singularities around. On the other hand the free energy gap which generates the Bose condensation discussed above is absent in the full model (which, in this respect alone, is presumably more like the integral/continuum version of I and II). It appears from numerical work [7] that the geometry change in the full model is less dramatic than in I and II; the system does not seem to collapse to a collection of quasi-one-dimensional objects as soon as c exceeds 1.

We acknowledge valuable conversations with J.L. Cardy and I.I. Kogan. J.C. acknowledges a grant from Praxis XXI.

References

[1] J.Ambjørn, B.Durhuus and J.Fröhlich, Nucl. Phys. B 257 [FS14](1985) 433.

[2] F.David, Nucl. Phys. B 257 [FS14](1985) 543.

[3] V.A.Kazakov, I.K.Kostov and A.A.Migdal, Phys. Lett. 157B (1985) 295.

[4] V.G.Knizhnik, A.M.Polyakov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 819;
F. David, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 1651;
J. Distler and H. Kawai, Nucl. Phys. B321 (1989) 509.

[5] E.Brézin and S.Hikami, Phys. Lett. B283 (1992) 203, Phys. Lett. B295 (1992) 209; S.Hikami, Phys. Lett. B305 (1993) 327.

[6] M.Wexler, Nucl. Phys. B410 (1993) 377, Mod. Phys. Lett. A8 (1993) 2703.

[7] C.F.Baillie and D.A.Johnston, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7 (1992) 1519;
J.Ambjørn, B.Durhuus, T.Jónsson, G. Thorleifsson, Nucl. Phys. B398 (1993) 568.

[8] M.G. Harris and J.F. Wheater, Nucl. Phys. B427 (1994) 111.

[9] M.G. Harris and J.Ambjørn, Niels Bohr Institute preprint NBI-HE-96-04.

[10] V.A. Kazakov, M. Staudacher and T. Wynter, Nucl. Phys. B471 (1996) 309.

[11] V.A. Kazakov, M. Staudacher and T. Wynter, École Normale preprints LPTENS-95/9,24, hep-th/9502132, 9506174, Commun. Math. Phys. to be published.

[12] D.V.Boulatov and V.A.Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B186 (1987) 379.

[13] C.Itzykson and J.B.Zuber, Nucl. Phys. B275[FS17] (1986) 580.

[14] J.Ambjørn, J.Jurkiewicz and Y.Watabiki, Niels Bohr Institute preprint NBI-HE-95-22.

[15] H.Aoki, H.Kawai, J.Nishimura and A. Tsuchiya, KEK preprint KEK-TH-454.